BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Statement of Issues
Against:

Case No. 4096

OAH No. 4096

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

Respondent.

[Gov. Code, § 11520]

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. On or about March 24, 2012, Complainant Virginia Herold ("Complainant"), in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs, filed Statement of Issues No. 4096 against Caryn Lynn Trotta-Gundersen ("Respondent") before the Board.
- 2. On or about September 21, 2010, Respondent filed an application dated August 8, 2010, with the Board of Pharmacy to obtain a pharmacist license.
- 3. On or about February 1, 2011, the Board issued a letter denying Respondent's application for a pharmacist license. On or about March 28, 2011, Respondent appealed the Board's denial of her application and requested a hearing.

- 16

- 4. On or about April 12, 2012, Lametric Lewis, an employee of the Department of Justice, served by Certified and First Class Mail a copy of the Statement of Issues No. 4096, Statement to Respondent, Respondent / Applicant's Notice of Designation of Counsel (two blanks), Respondent / Applicant's Notice of Withdrawal of Request for Hearing (two blanks), Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7), to Respondent's address on the application form, which was and is 15201 W. Becker Lane, Surprise, AZ, 85379. A copy of the Statement of Issues is attached hereto, marked Exhibit A, and incorporated herein by reference.
- 5. Service of the Statement of Issues was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c)
- 6. On or about March 28, 2011, Respondent appealed the denial of her application and requested a hearing in this action. On or about April 25, 2012, Respondent submitted her signed and dated Respondent / Applicant's Notice of Withdrawal of Request for Hearing. A copy of Respondent's Notice is attached hereto, marked Exhibit B, and incorporated herein by reference.
 - 7. Business and Professions Code section 118 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) The withdrawal of an application for a license after it has been filed with a board in the department shall not, unless the board has consented in writing to such withdrawal, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a proceeding against the applicant for the denial of the license upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order denying the license upon any such ground.
 - 8. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
 - 9, California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent; and where the burden of proof is on the respondent to establish that the respondent is entitled to the agency action sought, the agency may act without taking evidence.

10. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on evidence on file herein, finds that the allegations, in Statement of Issues No. 4096 are true.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Caryn Lynn Trotta-Gundersen has subjected her application for a pharmacist license to denial.
- 2. Service of Statement of Issues No. 4096 and related documents was proper and in accordance with the law.
 - 3. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 4. The Board of Pharmacy ("Board") is authorized to deny Respondent's application for licensure based upon the following violations alleged in the Statement of Issues:
- 5. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Business and Professions Code sections 4300 and 4301, subdivision (n), in that effective November 21, 2003, the Arizona State Board of Pharmacy suspended her Pharmacist Intern License No. 5768 followed by probation for a period of five years, and specific terms and conditions.
- 6. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Business and Professions Code section 480, subdivision (a)(1), in that on or about April 29, 2004, in the case titled *People v. Caryn Lynn Trotta-Gundersen*, Maricopa County Superior Court, State of Arizona, Case No. AZ16696404, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty of violating Arizona's statute prohibiting solicitation of narcotic drug, possession, or use, a Class 6 Felony under A.R.S. §13-3408(A)(1), a crime substantially related to the qualifications, duties and functions of a licensed pharmacist. Respondent was also fined \$2,166.00 and was sentenced to probation for one year.
- 7. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Business and Professions Code sections 4300 and 480, subdivision (a)(2), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct in that Respondent committed acts of dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit herself or another, or substantially injure another.
- 8. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Business and Professions Code sections 4300 and 480, subdivision (3)(A), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct in that

1	Respondent committed act that if done by a licentiate, would be grounds for discipline under		
2	Code sections 490 and 4301, subdivisions (f), (i), (l), and (n).		
3	ORDER		
4.	IT IS SO ORDERED that the application of Respondent Caryn Lynn Trotta-Gundersen is		
5	hereby denied,		
6	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a		
7	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within		
8	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may		
9	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.		
10	This Decision shall become effective on September 14, 2012.		
11	It is so ORDERED on August 15, 2012.		
12	BOARD OF PHARMACY		
13	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
14			
15	the C. Wessi		
16	By STANLEY C. WEISSER		
17	Board President		
18	SA2011101689 / 10899154.doc		
19			
20	Attachments:		
21	Exhibit A: Statement of Issues No. 4096		
22	Exhibit B: Respondent / Applicant's Notice of		
23	Withdrawal of Request for Hearing		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

Exhibit A.

Statement of Issues No. 4096

٠ . ا	•	•	
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California		
2	ARTHUR D. TAGGART Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
3	Leslie A. Burgermyer		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 117576		
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255		
· 6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-5337		
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant		
- 8	BEFORE THE		
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	STATE OF CA	LITORINA 1	
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 4096	
12	CARYN LYNN TROTTA-GUNDERSEN a.k.a. CARYN TROTTA	STATEMENT OF ISSUES	
13	15201 W. Becker Lane Surprise, AZ 85379-5341		
14			
15	Applicant for Pharmacist License		
16	Respondent.		
17			
18	Complainant alleges:		
19	<u>PARTI</u>	ŒS.	
20	1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official		
. 21	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of P	harmacy ("Board"), Department of Consumer	
22	Affairs.		
23	2. On or about September 21, 2010, the Board received an application for a Pharmacist		
2,4	license from Caryn Lynn Trotta-Gundersen also known as Caryn Trotta ("Respondent"). On or		
25	about August 8, 2010, Respondent certified under p	penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all	
26	statements, answers, and representations in the application. The Board denied the application on		
27	February 1, 2011.		
28	<i>///</i>		

JURISDICTION

3. Section 4300 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, the Board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

4. Section 480 of the Code states, in pertinent:

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- (a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- (1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.
- (2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.
- (3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- (B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.
- Section 4022 of the Code states:

Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for self-use in humans or animals, and includes the following:

- (a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import.
- (b) Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law restricts this device to sale by or on the order of a _____," "Rx only," or words of similar import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use or order use of the device.
- (c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006.
- 6. Section 4060 of the Code states:

No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant

pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer.

Nothing in this section authorizes a certified nurse-midwife, a nurse practitioner, a physician assistant, or a naturopathic doctor, to order his or her own stock of dangerous drugs and devices.

7. Section 4301 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- (f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
- (i) Except as otherwise authorized by law, knowingly selling, furnishing, giving away, or administering, or offering to sell, furnish, give away, or administer, any controlled substance to an addict.
- (1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.
- (n) The revocation, suspension, or other discipline by another state of a license to practice pharmacy, operate a pharmacy, or do any other act for which a license is required by this chapter.

27 || ///

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

| | //

DRUG

- 8. **Hydrocodone** is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1)(I).
- 9. Vicodin, a brand of Hydrocodone, is a compound consisting of 5 mg Hydrocodone Bitartrate, also known as Dihydrocodeinone, and 500 mg Acetaminophen per tablet and is a Schedule III controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision (e)(4).

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Out of State Discipline)

- 10. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code sections 4300 and 4301, subdivision (n), in that on or about August 11, 2004, Respondent entered into a Consent Agreement with the Arizona State Board of Pharmacy ("Arizona Board") which suspended her Pharmacist Intern License No. 5768, for from six months to not more than two years from the date of her voluntary surrender of license effective November 21, 2003, followed by probation for a period of five years, and specific terms and conditions. (A true copy of the Consent Agreement is attached hereto, marked Exhibit A, and incorporated herein by this reference.) The underlying circumstances leading to the Arizona Board's action against Respondent are:
- a. On or about October 9, 2003, Respondent was charged with the illegal sale or transportation of narcotic drugs, a Class 2 felony in Arizona, for her illegal activity occurring between the dates of June 25, 2003, and July 28, 2003.
- b. On or about November 21, 2003, Respondent voluntarily surrendered Intern License No. 5768 to the Arizona Board and agreed not to accept employment as a Pharmacy Intern or Technician, and not to enter the dispensing area of any pharmacy.
- c. On or about April 29, 2004, the Maricopa County Superior Court placed Respondent on probation, effective April 21, 2004, for one year for narcotic drug-possession, a Class 6 Felony under A.R.S. §13-3408(A)(1) and fined Respondent \$2,166.00.
- d. The Arizona Board issued conclusions of law finding Respondent had violated A.R.S. §§32-1927(A)(2) and (A)(5) in that Respondent's pharmacy intern license was subject to

13.

· 21

revocation or suspension or probation for (i) conviction of a felony and (ii) addiction to the use of alcohol or other drugs to such a degree as to render the licensee unfit in the opinion of the Arizona Board to practice the profession of pharmacy.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Criminal Conviction)

- 11. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code section 480, subdivision (a)(1), in that on or about April 29, 2004, in the case titled *People v. Caryn Lynn Trotta-Gundersen*, Maricopa County Superior Court, State of Arizona, Case No. AZ16696404, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty of violating Arizona 's statute prohibiting solicitation of narcotic drug, possession, or use, a Class 6 Felony under A.R.S. §13-3408(A)(1). Said crime is substantially related to the qualifications, duties and functions of a licensed pharmacist. Respondent was also fined \$2,166.00 and was sentenced to probation for one year.
- a. The circumstances are as follows: On or about July 28, 2003, Respondent was arrested by the Surprise Police Department, Surprise, Arizona, for violating A.R.S. 13-3406A.7 [transferring prescription only drugs, a Class 6 Felony]. At the time, Respondent was employed by Walgreens, 2222 W. Northern Avenue, #A101, Chandler, Arizona, as a pharmacist assistant and was attending school to be a pharmacist. A pharmacist complained to the Walgreens Loss Prevention Supervisor that on several occasions, he/she would fill a customer's prescription for Hydrocodone and then it would come up missing. The Loss Prevention Supervisor investigated the complaint and Walgreens' video surveillance tape shows Respondent transferring prescription drugs to a then-unknown person. He also collected five prescription tags from Walgreens in which the customers' prescriptions became missing. When confronted, Respondent admitted to the Loss Prevention Supervisor that she had filled patient prescriptions for Hydrocodone and then given them to her sister-in-law M.G. instead of the patients. Walgreens terminated Respondent's employment due to her theft. The value of the unlawfully transferred Hydrocodone was \$229.74, according to Walgreens. Respondent failed to cooperate with the law enforcement officer in that she refused to provide the telephone number and address for M.G. Respondent was charged with

1	2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
2	7
3	
4	DATED: 3/24/12 VIRGINIA HEROLD
5	Executive Officer
6	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
7	Complainant
8	SA2011101689 / 10864272.doc
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17 18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	7

STATEMENT OF ISSUES